```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                        DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 3
     PPG INDUSTRIES, INC.,
 4
         Plaintiffs,
                                  Case No. 2:12-CV-3526
 5
     vs.
 6
                                   Newark, New Jersey
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . April 21, 2014
 7
         Defendant.
 8
 9
                     TRANSCRIPT OF TELECONFERENCE
                  BY THE HONORABLE MICHAEL A. HAMMER
10
                    UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
    For the Plaintiff:
                               JOSEPH F. LAGROTTERIA, ESQ.
13
                               LeClairRyan
                               One River Front Plaza
14
                               1037 Raymond Boulevard, 16th Floor
                               Newark, NJ 07102
15
                               (973) 491-3516
                               Email:
16
                                joseph.lagrotteria@leclairryan.com
17
                               DOROTHY LAGUZZA, ESQ.
                               LeClairRyan
18
                               One River Front Plaza
                               1037 Raymond Boulevard, 16th Floor
19
                               Newark, NJ 07102
                               (973) 491-3383
20
                               Email:
                                dorothy.laguzza@leclairryan.com
21
22
23
     Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;
     transcript produced by transcription service.
24
25
```

		2
		2
1		GREGORY S. THOMAS, ESQ.
2		LeClairRyan One River Front Plaza 1037 Raymond Boulevard, 16th Floor
3		Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 491-3320
4		Email: gregory.thomas@leclairryan.com
5	For the Defendant: United Sates of America	LEWIS MARSHAL BARR, ESQ. U.S. Department of Justice
6	011200	Environment and Natural Resources Division
7		P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044
8		(202) 514-9645 Email: <u>Lewis.barr@usdoj.gov</u>
9		LISA MEGHAN BELL, ESQ.
10		U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources
11		Division P.O. Box 7611
12 13		Washington, DC 20044 (202) 514-9645 Email: <u>Lisa.bell@usdoj.gov</u>
14	Audio Operator:	Unknown
15	Transcription Service:	KING TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES
16	Transcription Service.	901 Route 3 South, Center Suite 3 Pompton Plains, NJ 07444
17		, and a second
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Proceedings 3 1 (Commencement of Proceedings at 9:36:30 a.m.) 2 MR. LAGROTTERIA: -- and Dorothy Laguzza and Greg 3 Thomas of my firm. MR. BARR: Yes, Your Honor. It's Lou Barr and Lisa 4 5 Bell here at the Justice Department for the United States. 6 THE COURT: All right. And again, this is PPG 7 Industries versus U.S.A., civil number 12-3526. Now we have 8 entered the amended scheduling order as well as the order April 9 16th that addressed a number of discovery issues. So is there 10 anything else we need to discuss today before we reconvene in 11 June? 12 MR. LAGROTTERIA: Your Honor, this is Joe 13 Lagrotteria. We were, we met and conferred and we're to report 14 back to you on two issues. And I'm pleased to advise you we' ve 15 worked out a schedule for resolving that issue. But I just 16 wanted to tell you what it is if you don't mind. 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LAGROTTERIA: There is a 30(b)(6) notice we 18 19 received from the United States for what I'll characterize as 20 the PPG time frame. And we're responding to that by May 15th 21 and Mr. Barr has agreed to that. 22 The other issue that -- is the issue of 23 interrogatories number 21 and 25. And we agreed, everyone 24 agreed that PPG will augment those answers we've supplied 25 previously at the time that we respond to the ten

```
Proceedings
                                                                 4
 1
    interrogatories that Your Honor has ordered.
              THE COURT: All right.
 2
 3
              MR. BARR: Your Honor, one thing is that may have
 4
    been, I may not have heard this properly. But it was our May
 5
    2013 interrogatories numbers 21 through 25.
 6
              MR. LAGROTTERIA: I thought that's what I said.
 7
    that's what it is.
 8
              MR. BARR: I thought it was 21 and 25.
 9
              MR. LAGROTTERIA: No. No. Twenty-one, twenty-two,
10
    twenty-three, twenty-four, and twenty-five. So we're clear.
11
              MR. BARR: And, yeah, MR. Lagrotteria is correct PPG
12
    has agreed to try I quess what would amount to a third time to
13
    provide responsive answers.
14
              There are couple of things that I wanted to mention
15
    in addition. The contention interrogatories issue, I want to
16
    revisit that briefly. We have reviewed and studied the first
17
    amended complaint, and the request for admissions, and the
18
    prior proposed set of contention interrogatories.
19
              And while it hasn't been in any way easy, we've
20
    worked at numbered down. And what we'd like to do is ask the
21
    Court to increase from ten to twelve the maximum number
22
    allowed.
23
              THE COURT: Is there any opposition to that, Mr.
24
    Lagrotteria?
25
              MR. LAGROTTERIA: Well, Your Honor, Your Honor has
```

Proceedings 5 1 already ruled on this. And I don't know whether is this is 2 going to be a pattern in this case where we're trying to get 3 all the time on rulings. But in answer to your question, Judge. Look, I'm not 4 5 going to be a jerk about it to be honest with you. Of course 6 we can have the 12 interrogatories. But I don't want to see, 7 you know, subparts. Twelve questions. Twelve questions. 8 THE COURT: No. I completely agree. 9 MR. LAGROTTERIA: Not twelve questions with 112 10 subparts. 11 THE COURT: All right. More than fair. Mr. Barr, 12 think Mr. Lagrotteria's response is in fact more than fair and 13 reasonable. So you can have the 12 instead of the ten. 14 be clear, absolutely no subparts. I've never allowed them as a 15 Magistrate Judge, and I certainly don't intend to now. 16 MR. BARR: We don't contemplate subparts. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So what's -- any other issues? 18 MR. BARR: Yes, Your Honor. For many months now 19 we've been speaking with PPG regarding their providing a 20 privileged log for their electronically stored information. 21 This goes back to last Fall. And on January 31st this year 22 they provided a 486 page privileged log containing entries for 23 some 10,081 documents. 24 We have reviewed that. We sent PPG a detailed letter 25 on March 27th explaining why that log fails to comply not only

Proceedings

with our instructions concerning the information for such a
log, but the identical instructions that PPG copied from our
request.

We have an initial meet and confer call scheduled for next Monday, April 28th. And if the past is any indication in this case that process is going to take some time. And we're going to our darndest to avoid asking Your Honor for help. But we just wanted to flag that issue and put it on the record now because this has been going on for a very long time.

MR. LAGROTTERIA: Your Honor, it hasn't. But, you know what, this is typical in this case. We had a meet and confer on Monday on this, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm not doing anything today. Let the parties meet and confer. I'll tell you this though. Two things to keep in mind insofar as it may assist you in the meet and confer.

Number one, it sounds to me that if there's -- I will tell you now. If there's going to be a very substantial amount of privileged or work product challenges then there are two options that we're going to consider.

One would be whether the parties would agree under Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) to an order that would allow the sharing of that information for the limited purpose of determining whether there are privilege issues that are actually worth fighting about. And without waiving that

Proceedings

privilege in this or in any other litigation. That's under Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d).

It's been the Court's experience that it's an extremely helpful tool to either eliminate the privilege dispute or at least severely narrow it down. Because obviously one of the disadvantages that the party requesting production faces is it doesn't know exactly what the substance of the documents is. And so it doesn't necessarily have the clearest idea what to fight about or not.

It's been my experience and a lot of times where parties agree to 502(d) the other side gets to see the documents, again, without waiver of any privilege in this or any other litigation, and say, well I don't really care about these other 10,000 documents. I really only care about this handful of documents. And it saved the parties an extraordinary amount of time and effort.

Particularly whereas here the parties are not in a competitive relationship. In other words, this isn't a matter of two competing companies in a particular industry suing each other over a patent or trade secret information such that there area corollary concerns about disclosure of the information.

So take a look at that and see if that assists you. The other thing I will tell you now, before I sit down and review, you know, thousands of privilege assertions that are being contested each of which requires it's own findings of

Proceedings 8 fact and conclusions of law and each of which is separately 1 2 appealable to the district judge, I am sure going to consider 3 the appointment of a special master to deal with this. Because 4 dealing with a privileged issue of that scope would literally 5 shut me down for weeks in a row to get through that. 6 As you both know I have more, somewhere around 400 7 other cases that are, that need attention. So that would be an 8 alternative consideration. But I'm certainly not going to 9 consider it today. 10 MR. LAGROTTERIA: -- all those considerations, Your 11 Honor. 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MR. BARR: And we appreciate the guidance. The last 14 minor item is I had a call from a lawyer for one of the 15 insurers who submitted a response to Your Honor on the motion 16 to compel. And she indicated, this was Mr. Karis, his 17 associated, Melissa Blakenship, indicated to me that there was 18 one small mistake on our exhibit two. And that was she 19 indicated it should not include Navigators as one of those 20 insurers. So I just wanted to pass that along. If the Court 21 would like us to submit a corrected exhibit two we can do that. 22 THE COURT: Yeah, I think that would be useful just 23 so that the record is clear, Mr. Barr. But no rush. 24 MR. BARR: Okay. 25 THE COURT: All right.

```
Proceedings
                                                                 9
 1
              MR. BARR: Thank you, Your Honor. We will do that.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Anything else for the
 3
    government at this time?
 4
              MR. BARR: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much for
 5
    your time.
 6
              THE COURT: Thank you. And how about for PPG, Mr.
 7
    Lagrotteria?
 8
              MR. LAGROTTERIA: No, Your Honor. Thank you for your
 9
    help and your time. We appreciate it.
10
              THE COURT: All right, counsel. Thank you very much.
11
    We already have our next conference scheduled. Right? It's
12
    June?
13
              MR. LAGROTTERIA: In June, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Terrific. All right folks. Thank you
15
    very much.
16
              MR. LAGROTTERIA: Bye.
17
              THE COURT: Bye.
18
              MR. BARR: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
              (Conclusion of Proceedings at 9:59:18 a.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Proceedings 10 1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, JANICE T. WARNER, Transcriptionist, do hereby 3 certify that the nine pages contained herein constitute a full, 4 true, and accurate transcript from the official electronic 5 recording of the proceedings had in the above-entitled 6 matter; that research was performed on the spelling of proper 7 names and utilizing the information provided, but that in 8 many cases the spellings were educated guesses; that the 9 transcript was prepared by me or under my direction and was 10 done to the best of my skill and ability. 11 I further certify that I am in no way related to any 12 of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the 13 outcome hereof. 14 15 16 s/ Janice T. Warner June 29, 2014 Signature of Approved Transcriber Date 17 18 Janice T. Warner, (AAERT #00315) King Transcription Services 19 901 Route 23 South, Center Suite 3 Pompton Plains, NJ 07444 20 (973) 237-6080 21 22 23 24 25